I = C(2)	2,130 (3)	C(3) = C(0)	1,390(7)
O-C(1)	1,218 (6)	C(4) - C(5)	1,389 (8)
C(1) - C(2)	1,504 (7)	C(5) - C(6)	1,383 (9)
C(1) - C(3)	1,488 (7)	C(6) - C(7)	1,369 (9)
C(3) - C(4)	1,391 (7)	C(7)–C(8)	1,391 (9)
I-C(2)-C(1)	107,2 (6)	C(4)-C(3)-C	(8) 119,6 (10)
O - C(1) - C(2)	118,3 (9)	C(3) - C(4) - C(4)	2(5) 120,2 (10)
O - C(1) - C(3)	121,2 (9)	C(4) - C(5) - C(5)	2(6) 120,6 (10
C(2)-C(1)-C(1)	(3) 120,5 (9)	C(5)-C(6)-C	2(7) 118,6 (13)
C(1) - C(3) - C(3)	(4) 118,4 (9)	C(6)-C(7)-C	2(8) 122,4 (13)
C(1) - C(3) - C(3)	(8) 121,9 (9)	C(7)-C(8)-C	2(3) 118,7 (10

Tableau 2. Longueurs de liaison (Å) et angles de valence (°)

2.156 (5)

I-C(2)

Nous exprimons nos plus vifs remerciements à MM J. Galy et J. Jaud (Laboratoire de Chimie de Coordination du CNRS, Toulouse) ainsi qu'à M R. Fourcade (Laboratoire des Acides Minéraux, Montpellier) pour l'aide qu'ils ont bien voulu nous apporter dans la réalisation de ce travail.

Références

- BALLY, R., DELETTRÉ, J. & MORNON, J. P. (1973). AFFINE. Programme d'Affinement par Moindres Carrés en Matrice Complète, Laboratoire de Minéralogie-Cristallographie, Univ. de Paris VI, France.
- BARRANS, Y. & MAISSEU, J. J. (1966). C. R. Acad. Sci. Sér. C, 262, 91-92.
- BONNIOL, A., GALSOMIAS, J. & PETRISSANS, J. (1979). C. R. Acad. Sci. Sér. C, 288, 407-410.
- ČETKINA, L. A. & GOL'DER, G. A. (1963). Kristallografiya, 8, 582-586.
- CHOONG-TAI AHN, SOLED, S. & CARPENTER, G. B. (1972). Acta Cryst. B28, 2152–2157.
- CROMER, D. T. (1965). Acta Cryst. 18, 17-23.
- GUPTA, M. P. & PRASAD, S. M. (1971). Acta Cryst. B27, 1649-1653.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- MAIN, P., LESSINGER, L., WOOLFSON, M. M., GERMAIN, G. & DECLERCQ, J. P. (1977). MULTAN 77. A System of Computer Programs for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data. Univs. de York, Angleterre, et Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgique.
- MIKHNO, O. A., EŽKOVA, Z. I., KOLOKOLOV, B. N. & ŽDANOV, G. S. (1972). Kristallografiya, 17, 1146–1151.

Acta Cryst. (1982). B38, 1037-1040

(3S,7R,11E)-3,4,5,6,7,8,9,10-Octahydro-7,14,16-trihydroxy-3-methyl-1H-2-benzoxacyclotetradecen-1-one Methanol Solvate*

BY WILLIAM H. WATSON'T AND VOLKER ZABEL

FASTBIOS Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Texas Christian University, Fort Worth, Texas 76129. USA

AND CHESTER J. MIROCHA AND SADANAND V. PATHRE

Department of Plant Pathology, University of Minnesota, St Paul, Minnesota 55108, USA

(Received 16 June 1981; accepted 26 October 1981)

Abstract. $C_{18}H_{24}O_5$. CH_3OH , $M_r = 352.43$, monoclinic, $P2_1$, a = 11.212 (2), b = 8.487 (1), c =10.319(2)Å, $\beta = 101.55(2)^{\circ}$, V = 962.0(3)Å³, $\mu =$ 7.02 cm⁻¹, $d_c = 1.217$ g cm⁻³, Z = 2. Full-matrix least-squares refinement (non-H atoms anisotropic, H atoms isotropic) based on 1783 reflexions led to a final R of 0.078. The title compound, α -zearalenol, is a naturally occurring mycotoxin isolated from the fungus Fusarum roseum and exhibits potent estrogenic activity. The molecule consists of a 14-membered lactone ring fused ortho to a 1,3-dihydroxybenzene moiety. The absolute configuration is inferred from the known C(3) stereochemistry of zearalenone from which α zearalenol can be prepared. The solid-state conformation is similar to that of 5-hydroxyzearalenone which was determined in an earlier study. A methanol of solvation is in a position to be hydrogen bonded to three α -zearalenol molecules.

Introduction. Zearalenone (1) (Urry, Wehrmeister, Hodge & Hidy, 1966) is an estrogenic secondary metabolite produced by several species of Fusarium. This metabolite causes hyperestrogenism in farm animals such as swine and cattle and in a host of laboratory animals (Mirocha & Christensen, 1974).

0567-7408/82/031037-04\$01.00 © 1982 International Union of Crystallography

^{*} FASTBIOS publication No. 84.

⁺ Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.

C(0(

0(C(

C(

C(

C(

C(0(

H(17c)



Table 1. Atomic positional parameters ($\times 10^4$, $\times 10^3$ for H) and isotropic thermal parameters

 U_{eq} or U

$$U_{\rm eq} = (U_{11} \ U_{22} \ U_{33})^{1/3}.$$

Among a number of derivatives of zearalenone isolated from F. roseum (Stipanovic & Schroeder, 1975), zearalenol (2) was found to be four times more active than zearalenone in rat uterotropic assay (Pathre & Mirocha, 1976). Sodium reduction of zearalenone vields diastereomeric zearalenols with the lower melting point compound $(444-444\cdot 5 \text{ K})$ being identical to that produced by F. roseum in cultures (Hagler, Mirocha, Pathre & Behrens, 1979). This naturally occurring diastereomer is also a principal metabolite identified in the urine of swine administered zearalenone (Mirocha, Pathre & Robison, 1981). The configuration at C(7)for the zearalenols prepared from zearalenone has been assigned (Shipchandler, 1975; Hidy, Baldwin, Greasham, Keith & McMullen, 1977) with the hydroxyl group of the lower melting diastereomer designated as trans (a) to the methyl group at C(3). Because of the importance of these compounds and the possible ambiguity of the α,β notation in large ring systems, we have determined the structure and relative configuration of the low melting zearalenol. Assuming the configuration at C(3) to be the same as in zearalenone (Kuo, Taub, Hoffsommer, Wendler, Urry & Mullenbach, 1967; Taub, Girotra, Hoffsommer, Kuo, Slater, Weber & Wendler, 1968), α -zearalenol is the (3S,7R) isomer. It is anticipated that a detailed structural knowledge of these compounds may be of assistance in mapping the active sites of estrogenic activity.

The naturally occurring diastereomer of zearalenol was dissolved in a minimum volume of hot methanolwater (65:35) and the solvent allowed to evaporate slowly under atmospheric pressure. A transparent crystal of dimensions $0.18 \times 0.70 \times 0.30$ mm was selected for all X-ray measurements. Intensity data were collected on a Syntex $P2_1$ diffractometer system by the θ -2 θ scanning technique using a variable scan speed, Cu Ka radiation ($\lambda = 1.54178$ Å) and a graphite monochromator. Room-temperature lattice parameters were refined by a least-squares procedure utilizing 15 reflexions whose angles were measured by a centering routine associated with the diffractometer. The unit cell was found to be monoclinic and systematic absences were consistent with space group $P2_1$. A periodically monitored reflexion showed no significant change in intensity. Of the 1819 independent reflexions measured ($2\theta < 140^\circ$) 1783 had intensities greater than $2\sigma(I)$. Lorentz and polarization corrections were applied, but no absorption corrections were made.

	x	y	Z	(A-)
C(1)	4414 (3)	4617 (5)	2471 (3)	43 (1)
$\dot{\mathbf{O}(1)}$	4121 (2)	4196 (6)	3503 (2)	67 (2)
O(2)	3608 (2)	5200 (3)	1486 (2)	44 (1)
$\tilde{C}(\tilde{3})$	2342 (3)	5329 (5)	1678 (3)	47 (2)
C(4)	1565 (3)	5283 (5)	313 (4)	51(2)
$\tilde{C}(5)$	1529 (3)	3661 (5)	-323(4)	53(2)
C(6)	936 (3)	3636 (5)	-1796(4)	55 (2)
C(7)	1732(4)	4303 (4)	-2696(3)	45(2)
O(7)	1036 (3)	4457 (4)	-4026(2)	62(2)
C(8)	2796 (3)	3250 (4)	-2758(3)	48(1)
C(0)	3757(3)	3944 (5)	-3438(3)	50(2)
C(10)	4500 (3)	5246 (5)	-2623(3)	47(2)
C(11)	5261 (3)	4669 (4)	-1343(3)	41(1)
C(12)	5201(3)	5257 (4)	-164(3)	38 (1)
C(12a)	6055(2)	4721 (3)	1071(3)	35(1)
C(13)	7257(3)	4475 (4)	1002(3)	41(1)
C(14)	8114 (3)	3975 (4)	2107(3)	43(1)
O(14)	9259(2)	3732 (4)	1940 (3)	56 (1)
C(15)	7768 (3)	3754 (4)	3301 (3)	42(1)
C(16)	6568 (3)	4000	3384(3)	41(1)
O(16)	6296 (2)	3732 (4)	4581 (2)	52 (1)
C(16a)	5675 (2)	4497 (4)	2279 (3)	37(1)
C(17)	2224 (4)	6834 (8)	2430 (5)	72 (2)
C(CH ₃ OH)	-1831(14)	6514 (11)	-3718(7)	127 (7)
O(CH ₃ OH)	-570 (3)	7190 (4)	-4058 (3)	63 (1)
H(3)	223 (4)	435 (7)	219 (4)	47 (11)
H(4a)	55 (6)	573 (8)	16 (6)	76 (15)
H(4b)	191 (3)	625 (5)	-26(3)	37 (8)
H(5a)	219 (4)	303 (6)	-7 (4)	45 (9)
H(5b)	102 (6)	297 (11)	21 (6)	90 (18)
H(6a)	16 (6)	442 (9)	-203(5)	74 (14)
H(6b)	77 (5)	247 (7)	-198(5)	55 (11)
H(7a)	211 (4)	535 (7)	-246 (4)	48 (10)
H(8a)	319 (4)	283 (6)	-177(4)	56 (11)
H(8b)	229 (4)	242 (6)	-325 (4)	49 (10)
H(9a)	447 (6)	295 (6)	-355 (4)	72 (14)
H(9b)	323 (6)	421 (9)	-427 (6)	73 (14)
H(10a)	516 (5)	596 (7)	680 (5)	57 (12)
H(10b)	388 (3)	615 (5)	-240 (3)	35 (8)
H(11)	571 (9)	383 (16)	-133 (9)	120 (28)
H(12)	488 (4)	621 (7)	-5 (5)	47 (10)
H(13)	747 (3)	469 (5)	15 (4)	40 (9)
H(15)	831 (4)	337 (6)	394 (5)	44 (10)
H(17a)	153 (6)	677 (7)	289 (5)	56 (11)
H(17b)	205 (5)	772 (7)	179 (5)	54 (12)

Table 2. Interatomic distances (Å)

701 (7)

309 (4)

53 (11)

297 (4)

C(1)–O(2)	1.315 (3)	C(9)–C(10)	1.531 (5)
C(1) - O(1)	1.228 (4)	C(10)–C(11)	1.503 (4)
C(1) - C(16a)	1.469 (4)	C(11)–C(12)	1.323 (4)
O(2) - C(3)	1.476 (4)	C(12)-C(12a)	1.490 (4)
C(3) - C(4)	1.501 (5)	C(12a) - C(13)	1.380 (4)
C(3)–C(17)	1.514 (7)	C(12a)-C(16a)	1.408 (4)
C(4) - C(5)	1.523 (6)	C(13)–C(14)	1.402 (4)
C(5) - C(6)	1.532 (5)	C(14)–O(14)	1.345 (4)
C(6) - C(7)	1.520 (6)	C(14)–C(15)	1.377 (5)
C(7)–O(7)	1.443 (4)	C(15)–C(16)	1.381 (5)
C(7) - C(8)	1.502 (5)	C(16)–O(16)	1.349 (4)
C(8)–C(9)	1.518 (6)	C(16)–C(16a)	1.423 (4)

The direct-methods program *MULTAN* (Germain, Main & Woolfson, 1971) was used to calculate phases for the 350 |E| values greater than 1.23. The phase set with the largest combined figure of merit was selected, and the *E* map calculated with these phases revealed the positions of 18 atoms. Alternate least-squares refinements and difference Fourier calculations were used to locate the remaining atoms. The three hydroxyl H atoms could not be found. Full-matrix least-squares refinement with anisotropic thermal parameters for non-H atoms was terminated at an *R* of 0.078 where $R = \sum ||F_o| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_o|$. The function minimized in the refinement was $\sum w(|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$, where $w = 1/\sigma(I)$ was determined from counting statistics. H-atom thermal parameters were refined isotropically.

Atomic scattering factors and the real and imaginary parts of the anomalous dispersion were calculated by the XRAY 76 program (Stewart, Machin, Dickinson, Ammon, Heck & Flack, 1976). Atomic positional parameters and U_{eq} values are presented in Table 1 while interatomic distances, valence angles and torsion angles are given in Tables 2, 3 and 4.*

Table 3. Valence angles (°)

O(2) - C(1) - O(1)	121.1 (3)	C(10)-C(11)-C(12)	124.7 (3)
O(2)-C(1)-C(16a)	116.9 (3)	C(11)-C(12)-C(12a)	123.0 (3)
O(1)-C(1)-C(16a)	121.9 (3)	C(12)-C(12a)-C(13)	116.7 (3)
C(1) - O(2) - C(3)	117.1 (2)	C(12)-C(12a)-C(16a)	123.5 (3)
O(2)-C(3)-C(17)	108.5 (3)	C(13)-C(12a)-C(16a)	119-8 (3)
O(2)-C(3)-C(4)	105-4 (3)	C(12a)-C(13)-C(14)	121-4 (3)
C(4)-C(3)-C(17)	114.1 (3)	C(13)–C(14)–O(14)	117-3 (3)
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	112.9 (3)	C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	119-9 (3)
C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	114.1 (3)	O(14)-C(14)-C(15)	122.8 (3
C(5)-C(6)-C(7)	114.2 (3)	C(14)-C(15)-C(16)	119.2 (3)
C(6)–C(7)–O(7)	110.0 (3)	C(15)-C(16)-O(16)	115.7 (2
C(6)-C(7)-C(8)	111.9 (3)	C(15)-C(16)-C(16a)	122.2 (3)
O(7)-C(7)-C(8)	107.2 (3)	O(16) - C(16) - C(16a)	122-1 (3)
C(7) - C(8) - C(9)	115.6 (3)	C(16)-C(16a)-C(1)	117.0 (3
C(8)-C(9)-C(10)	112.6 (3)	C(16)-C(16a)-C(12a)	117-4 (3
C(9) - C(10) - C(11)	113.5 (3)	C(1)-C(16a)-C(12a)	125-4 (2)

Table 4. Torsion angles (°)

Standard deviations are in the range $0.2-0.5^{\circ}$.

-154.6 (-163)*	8-9-10-11	65.2 (118)
71.3 (65)	9-10-11-12	-125.5 (-131)
-169.7 (-171)	10-11-12-12a	-175.9 (-172)
75.5 (73)	11-12-12a-16a	-139.6 (-139)
69.8 (80)	12-12a-16a-1	5.7 (-3)
-169.9 (-167)	12a - 16a - 1 - O(2)	-10.4 (1)
71.1 (64)	16a - 1 - O(2) - 3	-179.7 (-175)
-171.1	15-16-16a-1	176.1
69.3	14 - 13 - 12a - 12	179.8
82.8	12a-13-14-O(14)	178.6
-169.8	14-15-16-O(16)	178.9
0.2	16-16a-12a-12	-179.2
-5.4	O(16)-16-16a-12a	-179.1
-3.6		
	$\begin{array}{r} -154 \cdot 6 \ (-163)^{\bullet} \\ 71 \cdot 3 \ (65) \\ -169 \cdot 7 \ (-171) \\ 75 \cdot 5 \ (73) \\ 69 \cdot 8 \ (80) \\ -169 \cdot 9 \ (-167) \\ 71 \cdot 1 \ (64) \\ -171 \cdot 1 \\ 69 \cdot 3 \\ 82 \cdot 8 \\ -169 \cdot 8 \\ 0 \cdot 2 \\ -5 \cdot 4 \\ -3 \cdot 6 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccc} -154\cdot 6 & (-163)^{\bullet} & 8-9-10-11 \\ 71\cdot 3 & (65) & 9-10-11-12 \\ -169\cdot 7 & (-171) & 10-11-12-12a \\ 75\cdot 5 & (73) & 11-12-12a-16a \\ 69\cdot 8 & (80) & 12-12a-16a-1 \\ -169\cdot 9 & (-167) & 12a-16a-1-O(2) \\ 71\cdot 1 & 15-16-16a-1 \\ 69\cdot 3 & 14-13-12a-12 \\ 82\cdot 8 & 12a-13-14-O(14) \\ -169\cdot 8 & 14-15-16-O(16) \\ 0\cdot 2 & 16-16a-12a-12 \\ -5\cdot 4 & O(16)-16-16a-12a \\ -3\cdot 6 \end{array}$

 $\mbox{ ^ {\bf T} or sion}$ angles for 5-hydroxyzear alenone (Taylor & Watson, 1976) are in parentheses. Discussion. Fig. 1 is an ORTEP drawing (Johnson, 1965) of α -zearalenol. As indicated by the torsion angles in Table 4, the phenyl ring along with C(1)O(1)O(2)C(3)C(12)O(14) and O(16) form a planar system. The coplanarity of the phenyl ring and the conjugated carbonyl is further assisted by an internal hydrogen bond between O(1) and O(16) of 2.502(3) Å. Although the O(16) hydrogen cannot be located, the present structure is analogous to that of 5-hydroxyzearalenone in which hydrogen bonding has been demonstrated (Taylor & Watson, 1976). The C(11)-C(12) trans double bond is twisted out of the plane of the phenyl ring as indicated by the C(16a)C(12a)C(12)C(11) torsion angle of -139.6° ; however, the C(12)–C(12a) distance of 1.490(4) Å indicates some degree of conjugation. The torsion angles around the 14-membered ring are similar to those reported for 5-hydroxyzearalenone (Taylor & Watson, 1976) with the maximum deviation occurring about the C(9)–C(10) bond. Atoms C(6)C(7)C(8)C(9) and C(5)C(6)C(7)O(7) form reasonably planar segments within the molecule as indicated by torsion angles of -169.9 and -171.1° respectively. The absolute configuration at C(3) has been assigned in zearalenone (Kuo et al., 1967; Taub et al., 1968) and naturally occurring α -zearalenol, which can be prepared by the sodium reduction of zearalenone, must have the same stereochemistry at C(3). The stereochemistry at C(7) is then established and the absolute configuration of the low melting stereoisomer must be (3S,7R). A molecule of solvation is most likely methanol from which α -zearalenol was recrystallized. The oxygen position is well defined due to possible hydrogen bonding with three different zearalenol molecules. The angles around the O atom approximately tetrahedral with distances are O(7)···O(MeOH) 2·933 (5), O(14)···O(MeOH) (1 x, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, -z) 2.714 (4) and O(7)...O(MeOH) (-x,



Fig. 1. ORTEP drawing of a-zearalenol. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 35% probability level while H atoms are represented by spheres of arbitrary size.

^{*} Lists of structure factors and anisotropic thermal parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 36494 (17 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

 $\frac{1}{2} + y$, -1 - z) 2.733 Å. The C–O distance in the solvated molecule is unacceptably long at 1.63 Å; however, the electron density associated with the carbon position is not well defined.

Although the conformation of the phenyl ring and the attached atoms is similar to that of the A and Brings in estrone and estradiol, the conformation of the remainder of the 14-membered ring differs considerably from the perimeter atom arrangement in the steroids. The functional groups and their geometric relationship must be of importance in active-site binding; however, the 14-membered ring is flexible and the solid-state conformation may differ significantly from that in solution. Nevertheless, it should be noted that the $O(3) \cdots O(17)$ and $O(3) \cdots O(18)$ separations in estradiol and its derivatives (Duax & Norton, 1975) average 11.0 and 8.6 Å while the $O(14)\cdots O(7)$ and $O(14)\cdots C(17)$ distances in α -zearalenol are 10.03 and 8.43 Å respectively. The relationships between C(18), O(17) and C(7), O(7) are not comparable.

We would like to thank The Robert A. Welch Foundation (P-074) and the Public Health Service (1R01-FD-00035) for their financial support.

References

- DUAX, W. L. & NORTON, D. A. (1975). Atlas of Steroid Structure, Vol. 1. New York: Plenum.
- GERMAIN, G., MAIN, P. & WOOLFSON, M. M. (1971). Acta Cryst. A 27, 368–376.

- HAGLER, W. M., MIROCHA, C. J., PATHRE, S. V. & BEHRENS, J. C. (1979). *Environ. Appl. Microbiol.* **37**, 849–853.
- HIDY, P. H., BALDWIN, R. S., GREASHAM, R. L., KEITH, C. L. & MCMULLEN, J. R. (1977). Adv. Appl. Microbiol. 22, 59-64.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- KUO, C. H., TAUB, D., HOFFSOMMER, R. D., WENDLER, N., URRY, W. H. & MULLENBACH, G. (1967). J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. pp. 761-762.
- MIROCHA, C. J. & CHRISTENSEN, C. M. (1974). *Mycotoxins*, edited by I. F. H. PURCHASE. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- MIROCHA, C. J., PATHRE, S. V. & ROBISON, T. S. (1981). Food Cosmet. Toxicol. 19, 25-30.
- PATHRE, S. V. & MIROCHA, C. J. (1976). Adv. Chem. 149, 198–227.
- Shipchandler, M. T. (1975). Heterocycles. 3, 471-519.
- STEWART, J. M., MACHIN, P. A., DICKINSON, C., AMMON, H. L., HECK, H. & FLACK, H. (1976). XRAY 76. Tech. Rep. TR-441. Computer Science Center, Univ. of Maryland, College Park, Maryland.
- STIPANOVIC, R. D. & SCHROEDER, H. W. (1975). Mycopathol. Mycol. Appl. 57, 77–81.
- TAUB, D., GIROTRA, N. N., HOFFSOMMER, R. D., KUO, C., SLATER, H. L., WEBER, S. & WENDLER, N. L. (1968). *Tetrahedron*, 24, 2443–2461.
- TAYLOR, I. F. JR & WATSON, W. H. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 710-714.
- URRY, W. H., WEHRMEISTER, H. L., HODGE, E. B. & HIDY, P. H. (1966). *Tetrahedron Lett.* pp. 3109-3114.

Acta Cryst. (1982). B38, 1040–1043

Structure of 1,1,1-Tris[(diphenylphosphino)methyl]ethane (Triphos)

BY C. MEALLI

Istituto di Stereochimica di Coordinazione del CNR, 27 via D. Guerrazzi, Firenze, Italy

(Received 15 May 1981; accepted 26 October 1981)

Abstract. $C_{41}H_{39}P_3$, $M_r = 624.69$, triclinic, $P\overline{1}$, a = 13.487 (5), b = 13.573 (5), c = 10.484 (5) Å, a = 104.73 (9), $\beta = 106.37$ (8), $\gamma = 97.73$ (8)°, V = 1736.4 Å³, Z = 2, F(000) = 660, $D_c = 1.19$, $D_m = 1.19$ Mg m⁻³, λ (Mo $K\alpha$) = 0.7107 Å, $\mu = 1.58$ mm⁻¹; R = 0.077, $R_w = 0.093$ for 3073 unique reflections. The structure is composed of isolated molecules. The three-armed molecule has neither crystallographic nor pseudo threefold symmetry as the conformation of one of the three aliphatic chains markedly differs from that of the other two. A comparison between the geometries of uncoordinated and coordinated triphos shows that

only a minor rearrangement of the chains, such as a crossing of the torsional barrier from one staggered conformation to an equivalent one, is sufficient to coordinate most metal atoms.

Introduction. 1,1,1-Trisl (diphenylphosphino)methyl]ethane, triphos, has been shown to be a ligand which can stabilize a great variety of coordination compounds (Sacconi & Mani, 1982). At least 16 X-ray structure determinations of such compounds have been carried out in recent years in this laboratory (Bianchini, Dapporto & Meli, 1979; Bianchini, Dapporto, Meli &